

On Bernoulli Symbol \mathcal{B}

Lin JIU

Johann Radon Institute for Computational and Applied Mathematics (RICAM)
Austrian Science of Academy

Klagenfurt-Linz-Wien Workshop

May 5th 2017

Bernoulli Numbers & Bernoulli Polynomials

Definition

Bernoulli numbers B_n and Bernoulli polynomials $B_n(x)$ are given by their exponential generating functions:

$$\frac{t}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{t^n}{n!} \text{ and } \frac{te^{xt}}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

Example

■ Faulhaber's formula:

$$1^n + 2^n + \cdots + N^n = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{i} B_{n+1-i} N^i = \frac{B_{n+1}(N+1) - B_{n+1}}{n+1}.$$

■ Riemann-zeta:

$$\zeta(2n) = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(2\pi)^{2n} B_{2n}}{2(2n)!}, \quad \zeta(-n) = -\frac{B_{n+1}}{n+1}.$$

Umbral Calculus

Key Idea:

$\mathcal{B}^n \mapsto B_n$: i.e., super index \leftrightarrow lower index.
Why?

Simplification

$$B_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} B_{n-k} x^k = (\mathcal{B} + x)^n.$$

Simplification

Faulhaber's formula:

$$\begin{aligned} 1^n + \cdots + N^n &= \frac{B_{n+1}(N+1) - B_{n+1}}{n+1}. \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1} \left((\mathcal{B} + N + 1)^{n+1} - \mathcal{B}^{n+1} \right) \\ &= \left(\Delta_{N+1} \cdot \int_0^t \right) (\mathcal{B} + x)^n dx \Big|_{t=0}. \end{aligned}$$

Umbral Calculus

Key Idea:

$\mathcal{B}^n \mapsto B_n$: i.e., super index \leftrightarrow lower index.
Why?

Simplification

$$B_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} B_{n-k} x^k = (\mathcal{B} + x)^n.$$

Simplification

Faulhaber's formula:

$$\begin{aligned} 1^n + \cdots + N^n &= \frac{B_{n+1}(N+1) - B_{n+1}}{n+1}. \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1} \left((\mathcal{B} + N + 1)^{n+1} - \mathcal{B}^{n+1} \right) \\ &= \left(\Delta_{N+1} \cdot \int_0^t \right) (\mathcal{B} + x)^n dx \Big|_{t=0}. \end{aligned}$$

Umbral Calculus (Cont.)

Visualization

$$B'_n(x) = nB_{n-1}(x) \Leftrightarrow [(\mathcal{B} + x)^n]' = n(\mathcal{B} + x)^{n-1}.$$

New Aspect (Probabilistic Interpretation)

$\exists p(t)$ on \mathbb{R} s. t. (moment)

$$\mathcal{B}^n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^n p(t) dt.$$

Umbral Calculus (Cont.)

Visualization

$$B'_n(x) = nB_{n-1}(x) \Leftrightarrow [(\mathcal{B} + x)^n]' = n(\mathcal{B} + x)^{n-1}.$$

New Aspect (Probabilistic Interpretation)

$\exists p(t)$ on \mathbb{R} s. t. (moment)

$$\mathcal{B}^n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^n p(t) dt.$$

Probabilistic Interpretation

Theorem[Density of \mathcal{B}](A. Dixit, V. H. Moll, and C. Vignat)

$\mathcal{B} \sim \iota L_B - \frac{1}{2}$, where

$$\iota^2 = -1, L_B \text{ has density } \frac{\pi}{2} \operatorname{sech}^2(\pi t) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}$$

Probabilistic Interpretation



$$B_n = \mathcal{B}^n = \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{B}^n] = \frac{\pi}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\iota t - \frac{1}{2} \right)^n \operatorname{sech}^2(\pi t) dt.$$



$$B_n(x) = (\mathcal{B} + x)^n = \frac{\pi}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(x + \iota t - \frac{1}{2} \right)^n \operatorname{sech}^2(\pi t) dt.$$

Probabilistic Interpretation

Theorem[Density of \mathcal{B}](A. Dixit, V. H. Moll, and C. Vignat)

$\mathcal{B} \sim \iota L_B - \frac{1}{2}$, where

$$\iota^2 = -1, L_B \text{ has density } \frac{\pi}{2} \operatorname{sech}^2(\pi t) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}$$

Probabilistic Interpretation



$$B_n = \mathcal{B}^n = \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{B}^n] = \frac{\pi}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\iota t - \frac{1}{2} \right)^n \operatorname{sech}^2(\pi t) dt.$$



$$B_n(x) = (\mathcal{B} + x)^n = \frac{\pi}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(x + \iota t - \frac{1}{2} \right)^n \operatorname{sech}^2(\pi t) dt.$$

Probabilistic Interpretation (Cont.)

Definition

The uniform symbol \mathcal{U} is defined by the uniform distribution on $[0, 1]$, with evaluation/expectation:

$$\mathcal{U}^n = \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{U}^n] = \int_0^1 t^n dt = \frac{1}{n+1}.$$

Remark

$$e^{\mathcal{B}y} = \mathbb{E} [e^{\mathcal{B}y}] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{y^n}{n!} = \frac{y}{e^y - 1} \text{ and } e^{\mathcal{U}y} = \frac{e^y - 1}{y}.$$

$$e^{(\mathcal{B}+\mathcal{U})y} = e^{\mathcal{B}y} \cdot e^{\mathcal{U}y} = 1 \Rightarrow (\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{U})^n = \delta_{n,0}.$$

\mathcal{B} and \mathcal{U}

Difference

For polynomial $P_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^n$

$$P_n(x + \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{U}) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k (x + \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{U})^n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^n = P_n(x).$$

Now let $P_{n-1}(x) = x^{n-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^{n-1} &= (x + \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{U})^{n-1} = \int_0^1 (x + \mathcal{B} + u)^{n-1} du \\ &= \frac{1}{n} ((x + \mathcal{B} + 1)^n - (x + \mathcal{B})^n) \\ \Rightarrow & B_n(x + 1) - B_n(x) = nx^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Definitions

■ Bernoulli:

$$\frac{t}{e^t - 1} e^{tx} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \Leftrightarrow B_n(x) = (\mathcal{B} + x)^n$$

■ Norlund:

$$\left(\frac{t}{e^t - 1} \right)^p e^{tx} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^{(p)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \Leftrightarrow B_n^{(p)} = \left(\underbrace{\mathcal{B}_1 + \cdots + \mathcal{B}_p}_{\text{i. i. d.}} + x \right)^n$$

■ Bernoulli-Barnes: for $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_p)$, $|\mathbf{a}| = \prod_{l=1}^p a_l \neq 0$

$$e^{tx} \prod_{i=1}^p \frac{t}{e^{a_i t} - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(\mathbf{a}; x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \Leftrightarrow B_n(\mathbf{a}; x) = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{a}|} \left(x + \mathbf{a} \cdot \vec{\mathcal{B}} \right)^n$$

Norlünd: β symbol

Definition

$$\left(\frac{t}{e^t - 1} \right)^p e^{tx} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^{(p)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \Leftrightarrow B_n^{(p)} = (\mathcal{B}_1 + \cdots + \mathcal{B}_p + x)^n.$$

Theorem [Lucas Formula (1878)]

$$B_n^{(p+1)} = \left(1 - \frac{n}{p}\right) B_n^{(p)} - n B_{n-1}^{(p)} = (-1)^p p \binom{n}{p} \beta^{n-p} (\beta)_p,$$

where $(\beta)_p = \beta(\beta+1)\cdots(\beta+p-1)$ is the Pochhammer symbol and

$$\beta^n = \frac{B_n}{n}.$$

MZV: \mathcal{C} symbol

Definition

$$\zeta_r(n_1, \dots, n_r) = \sum_{0 < k_1 < \dots < k_r} \frac{1}{k_1^{n_1} \cdots k_r^{n_r}} = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k_1^{n_1} (k_1 + k_2)^{n_2} \cdots (k_1 + \cdots + k_r)^{n_r}}$$

B. Sadaoui's analytic continuation is based on Raabe's identity by linking

$$Y_a(\mathbf{n}) = \int_{[1, \infty)^r} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{(x_1 + a_1) \cdots (x_1 + a_1 + \cdots + x_r + a_r)^{n_r}}$$

to the multiple zeta function

$$Z(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{z}) = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r > 0} \frac{1}{(k_1 + z_1)^{n_1} \cdots (k_1 + z_1 + \cdots + k_r + z_r)^{n_r}}$$

MZV: \mathcal{C} symbol (Cont.)

Theorem(Sadaoui)

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_r(-n_1, \dots, -n_r) &= (-1)^r \sum_{k_2, \dots, k_r} \frac{1}{\bar{n} + r - \bar{k}} \prod_{j=2}^r \frac{\binom{\sum_{i=j}^r n_i - \sum_{i=j+1}^n k_i + r - j + 1}{k_j}}{\sum_{i=j}^r n_i - \sum_{i=j}^n k_i + r - j + 1} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{l_1, \dots, l_r} \binom{\bar{n} + r - \bar{k}}{l_1} \binom{k_2}{l_2} \cdots \binom{k_r}{l_r} B_{l_1} \cdots B_{l_r}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{n} = \sum_{j=1}^n n_j, \quad \bar{k} = \sum_{j=2}^r k_j, \quad k_2, \dots, k_r \geq 0, \quad l_j \leq k_j \text{ for } 2 \leq j \leq r \text{ and } l_1 \leq \bar{n} + r + \bar{k}.$$

Theorem(L. Jiu, V. H. Moll and C. Vignat)

$$\zeta_r(-n_1, \dots, -n_r) = \prod_{k=1}^r (-1)^{n_k} \mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k}^{n_k+1},$$

where $\mathcal{C}_1^n = \frac{\mathcal{B}_1^n}{n}$, $\mathcal{C}_{1,2}^n = \frac{(\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2)^n}{n}$, \dots , $\mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k+1}^n = \frac{(\mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k} + \mathcal{B}_{k+1})^n}{n}$

MZV: \mathcal{C} symbol (Cont.)

Theorem(Sadaoui)

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_r(-n_1, \dots, -n_r) &= (-1)^r \sum_{k_2, \dots, k_r} \frac{1}{\bar{n} + r - \bar{k}} \prod_{j=2}^r \frac{\binom{\sum_{i=j}^r n_i - \sum_{i=j+1}^n k_i + r - j + 1}{k_j}}{\sum_{i=j}^r n_i - \sum_{i=j}^n k_i + r - j + 1} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{l_1, \dots, l_r} \binom{\bar{n} + r - \bar{k}}{l_1} \binom{k_2}{l_2} \cdots \binom{k_r}{l_r} B_{l_1} \cdots B_{l_r}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{n} = \sum_{j=1}^n n_j, \quad \bar{k} = \sum_{j=2}^r k_j, \quad k_2, \dots, k_r \geq 0, \quad l_j \leq k_j \text{ for } 2 \leq j \leq r \text{ and } l_1 \leq \bar{n} + r + \bar{k}.$$

Theorem(L. Jiu, V. H. Moll and C. Vignat)

$$\zeta_r(-n_1, \dots, -n_r) = \prod_{k=1}^r (-1)^{n_k} \mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k}^{n_k+1},$$

where $\mathcal{C}_1^n = \frac{\mathcal{B}_1^n}{n}$, $\mathcal{C}_{1,2}^n = \frac{(\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2)^n}{n}$, \dots , $\mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k+1}^n = \frac{(\mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k} + \mathcal{B}_{k+1})^n}{n}$

MZV: \mathcal{C} symbol (Cont.)

Example

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_2(-n, 0) &= (-1)^n \mathcal{C}_1^{n+1} \cdot (-1)^0 \mathcal{C}_{1,2}^{0+1} \\ &= (-1)^n \frac{\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2}{1} \cdot \mathcal{C}_1^{n+1} \\ &= (-1)^n (\mathcal{C}_1^{n+2} + \mathcal{B}_2 \mathcal{C}_1^{n+1}) \\ &= (-1)^n \left[\frac{\mathcal{B}_{n+2}}{n+2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n+1}}{n+1} \right].\end{aligned}$$

$$\zeta_r(-n_1, \dots, -n_r, z_1, \dots, z_r) = \prod_{k=1}^r (-1)^{n_k} \mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k}^{n_k+1}(z_1, \dots, z_k)$$

We have results on *recurrence, generating functions, quasi-shuffle identities*.

MZV: \mathcal{C} symbol (Cont.)

Example

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_2(-n, 0) &= (-1)^n \mathcal{C}_1^{n+1} \cdot (-1)^0 \mathcal{C}_{1,2}^{0+1} \\ &= (-1)^n \frac{\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2}{1} \cdot \mathcal{C}_1^{n+1} \\ &= (-1)^n (\mathcal{C}_1^{n+2} + \mathcal{B}_2 \mathcal{C}_1^{n+1}) \\ &= (-1)^n \left[\frac{\mathcal{B}_{n+2}}{n+2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n+1}}{n+1} \right].\end{aligned}$$

$$\zeta_r(-n_1, \dots, -n_r, z_1, \dots, z_r) = \prod_{k=1}^r (-1)^{n_k} \mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k}^{n_k+1}(z_1, \dots, z_k)$$

We have results on *recurrence, generating functions, quasi-shuffle identities*.

MZV: Another Approach

S. Akiyama and Y. Tanigawa uses Euler-Maclaurin summation formula to derive (the recurrence)

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_r(-n_1, \dots, -n_r) &= -\frac{\zeta_{r-1}(-n_1, \dots, -n_{r-2}, -n_{r-1} - n_r - 1)}{1 + n_r} \\ &\quad - \frac{\zeta_{r-1}(-n_1, \dots, -n_{r-2}, -n_{r-1} - n_r)}{2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{q=1}^{n_r} (-n_r)_q a_q \zeta_{r-1}(-n_1, \dots, -n_{r-2}, -n_{r-1} - n_r + q),\end{aligned}$$

where $a_q = B_{q+1}/(q+1)!$.

Remark

$$B_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \text{ and } (-n)_{-1} = -\frac{1}{n+1}$$

Theorem(L. Jiu, V. H. Moll and C. Vignat)

$$\zeta_r(-n_1, \dots, -n_r) = \prod_{k=1}^r (-1)^{n_k} \mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k}^{n_k+1}$$

MZV: Another Approach

S. Akiyama and Y. Tanigawa uses Euler-Maclaurin summation formula to derive (the recurrence)

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_r(-n_1, \dots, -n_r) &= -\frac{\zeta_{r-1}(-n_1, \dots, -n_{r-2}, -n_{r-1} - n_r - 1)}{1 + n_r} \\ &\quad - \frac{\zeta_{r-1}(-n_1, \dots, -n_{r-2}, -n_{r-1} - n_r)}{2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{q=1}^{n_r} (-n_r)_q a_q \zeta_{r-1}(-n_1, \dots, -n_{r-2}, -n_{r-1} - n_r + q),\end{aligned}$$

where $a_q = B_{q+1}/(q+1)!$.

Remark

$$B_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \text{ and } (-n)_{-1} = -\frac{1}{n+1}$$

Theorem(L. Jiu, V. H. Moll and C. Vignat)

$$\zeta_r(-n_1, \dots, -n_r) = \prod_{k=1}^r (-1)^{n_k} C_{1, \dots, k}^{n_k + 1}$$

Hamburger moment problem

Theorem[Density of \mathcal{B}](A. Dixit, V. H. Moll, and C. Vignat)

$\mathcal{B} \sim \iota L_B - \frac{1}{2}$, where

$$\iota^2 = -1, L_B \text{ has density } \frac{\pi}{2} \operatorname{sech}^2(\pi t) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}$$

Question: Whether sech^2 is unique. (Hamburger $\leftrightarrow \mathbb{R}$)

Answer: Yes, (thank to Prof. K. Dilcher)

$$b_n \leq \frac{2n!}{(2\pi)^n}$$

Hamburger moment problem

Theorem[Density of \mathcal{B}](A. Dixit, V. H. Moll, and C. Vignat)

$\mathcal{B} \sim \iota L_B - \frac{1}{2}$, where

$$\iota^2 = -1, L_B \text{ has density } \frac{\pi}{2} \operatorname{sech}^2(\pi t) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}$$

Question: Whether sech^2 is unique. (Hamburger $\leftrightarrow \mathbb{R}$)

Answer: Yes, (thank to Prof. K. Dilcher)

$$b_n \leq \frac{2n!}{(2\pi)^n}$$

Jacobi Sequence

$L_B \sim p(t) = \frac{\pi}{2} \operatorname{sech}^2(\pi t)$ gives a measure on \mathbb{R} : $d\mu(t) = p(t) dt$. Then, it is natural to consider the corresponding orthogonal polynomial sequence (OPS) $\{P_n(x)\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ (leading coefficient 1):

$$P_{n+1}(x) = xP_n(x) - \omega_n P_{n-1}(x).P_{-1}(x) = 0, P_0(x) = 1.$$

Sequence $\{\omega_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is called the Jacobi sequence.

- Existence of OPS; ✓
- Computation of ω_n . **Conjecture.** [Mathematica]

$$\omega_n = \frac{n^4}{4(2n-1)(2n+1)}.$$

However, it is just a simple transform of a known result.

Perhaps, evaluation of the following series is interesting:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}^{\frac{n}{k}} \frac{x^{\frac{n}{k}}}{n!} = e^{(\mathcal{B}x)^{\frac{1}{k}}}$$

Thank you